

Retraction Note

Research Article



Monitoring nutrient orientations and changes in physical activity during three periods (at the beginning, during and after the pandemic)

Fatih CESUR*

Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Ege University, Trabzon, Turkey

Received: 02-May-2024, Manuscript No. CNHD-24-133843; **Editor assigned:** 06-May-2024, PLOC No. CNHD-24-133843 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 20-May-2024, QC No. CNHD-24-133843; **Revised:** 27-May-2024, Manuscript No. CNHD-24-133843 (R); **Published:** 03-Jun-2024, DOI: 10.12873/0211-6057.44.02.220

ABSTRACT

Objective: Changes in the dietary habits of volunteer participants before, during, and after the pandemic were analyzed.

Methods: In this study the questionnaire was distributed to individuals *via* Google form internet connection in 2021-2023.

Results: 1323 people participated in the study. When compared before and after the pandemic, a significant difference was found in the consumption forting foods (p < 0.001), functional foods (p < 0.01), foods that strengthen the immune system (p<0.001 and proviotic and prebiotic (p<0.001) foods. Picture a levels decreased significantly during and and the pandemic compared to before the pardemic. Consumption of all food groups in healthcare workers, showing, and other groups (retired, unemployed, tc.) increased significantly during the pandemic there was significant difference in probiotic and functional foor consumption before and after the province North ared to before and after the pandemi pre-prohiotic consumption decreased from 59.30% 40.70% Functional food consumption crea from 5. to 44.30%.

habits or individuals, but after the pandemic, individuals, interest in these foods decreased and they returned their pre-pandemic diet.

Keywords: COVID-19, Nutritional habits, Pandemic,

Correspondence to:

Fatih CESUR, E-mail: fatihcesr@gmail.com

Function food, Supplementary food.

INTIDUCIÓN

Coronav. ps (COVID-19) is a disease that affects the world. Enc. of Ct VID-19 such as fever, trouble breathing, lung infective, and pandemic symptoms have appeared ^[1]. It causes a life-threatening danger in individuals with the encer of these symptoms ^[2]. All countries have decided to combat this pandemic. First of all, it has started to struggle with two methods. The epidemic was wanted to be prevented by social isolation in healthy individuals ^[3]. World Health Organization (WHO) has emphasized the importance of healthy nutrition other than these methods. For this reason, author drew attention to the importance of individual protection and healthy nutrition ^[4].

The importance of a healthy and balanced diet was explained to individuals, and society was informed [4]. During situations with increased requirements (e.g., infection, stress, and pollution), the immune system is activated and thus increases the energy demand. The immune system weakens if it cannot meet the need [5]. It was emphasized that those who survived the disease during the pandemic period had weak immune systems ^[6]. In this process, individuals have antioxidants, flavonoids, vitamins, fruits and vegetables, dietary fiber, protein, etc. They are advised to consume diets rich in nutrients. Additionally, WHO; stated that smoking, drinking alcohol, eating rich in excess carbohydrates and saturated fats and an unbalanced diet negatively affect the immune system. On the other hand, the public has long been informed that such diets will cause obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disorders. It has been stated that these diseases are the riskiest groups during the pandemic [7].

During the pandemic, individuals were asked to consider these warnings. Studies have emphasized that a healthy diet will also have a positive effect on overcoming this disease ^[6]. Additionally, it has been stated that physical activity and staying away from stress will affect the strengthening of the immune system ^[8]. In this process, quarantines, measures, and strict measures taken by countries to prevent the epidemic create unwanted stress in society ^[9]. Pandemic; forced changes in the life flow of individuals such as economy, education, social relations, and communication. It can be said that this change in daily life creates stress in a large part of society ^[10].

In a study, it was observed that the level of physical activity decreased significantly and there was an increase in the time spent sitting during the day. A decrease in physical activity primarily poses the risk of obesity. In the research, author explained that people should make physical movements in their homes and pay attention to the energy taken to be equal to the energy given ^[6,11]. It is aimed to examine the status of the volunteer participants in their eating habits during and after the pandemic and the changes in their daily lives.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study type

It is a survey conducted with people between the new of 18-65. The study consists of individuals in Turkey and Turkish society. Information was obtained from Volunteer individuals three times by survey method between March April 2021, February-March 2022, and April 2023, and these three periods were named Group 1 (G1), Group 2 (G2), and Group 2 (G3), respectively

Study group

While G1 and G2 were asked constions about the prepandemic and post-pandemic pends. G3 was asked questions about the pandemic period and post-pandemic period. 1323 people participate in the online survey.

Procedures

The online survey method was used to deliver and fill out the survey method was used to deliver and fill out the survey confidentiality was important during the predection optimized, name-surname/identification number contraction was not requested. Age information was not asked optimized (day/month/year), only every year (e.g. 22). The survey consists of two parts. The first part consists of social-demographic questions. The second part, it is aimed to determine the effect of the pandemic on the nutritional habits, physical activities, and havior styles of individuals. Yes/No options were determined for the survey questions.

Height and body weight measurements are based on the declaration. Body Mass Index (BMI) are calculated by dividing body weight (in kilogram, by the equare of height (in meters)^[12].

This questionnaire cross not incode social demographic questions and consists of 12 question, usine reliability level of these questions was calculated over 88 participants before the restance of the second secon

Statistical analysis

The SPSS 22 version program did the statistical analysis. The suitability of variables to normal distribution was analytical methods (Kolmogorovexamine Ь. Smirn Shapiro tests). Descriptive statistics were ng percentile values for normally distributed done by Mommar analyzed the dependent groups ontinuous variables. The chi-square test was between sed for dependent groups. Values with a p-value thap 0.05 were considered statistically significant and OpenEpi Version 3.01 software was used for power alysis. As a result of the power analysis, it was found surficient that at least 385 people participated.

Ethical considerations

All participants were informed of the study and completed a consent form. It was taken from Kastamonu University's Nonclinical Research Ethics Committee on Humans (10.03.2021-Number of meetings=3, Decision=6). The study was conducted per the ethical standards in the Declaration of Helsinki.

RESULTS

This study was carried out in a certain period (2021, 2022, and 2023) in three separate years. Therefore, groups representing each period were formed (G1, G2, and G3). The study consisted of 1323 people in total, with G1=472, G2=390, and G3=461.

Nutrient supplement use increased from 25% to 30% in the G1 to G2 and decreased to 22% in the G3. Consumption of functional foods increased from 27% to 30% in G1 to G2 and decreased in G3 (24%). It was observed that while the use of pre-probiotics was 48% in G1, it decreased to 40% in G2 and again increased to 54% in G3 (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Food supplements, functional food, and prebiotics-probiotics use preferences of the groups. Note: (□): Supplement; (□): Functional food; (■): Prebiotic/ Probiotic

1323 people participated in the study, 63.10% of them are women. Just over half of the respondents (55.40%) are married. The participation rate of individuals between the ages of 51 and 65 in the survey is low. The proportion of young people in other age groups is higher. 19.00% of the study group consists of health workers, 12.80% SGK employees, 22.10% civil servants, the majority (33%) university students, and 13.10% other (workers, housewives, retired, etc.).

81.30% of the participants did not have chronic diseases. 53.60% of individuals are normal weight, 28.90% are overweight, 11.30% are obese and 6.10% are underweight. During the pandemic, 21.90% of eople use cigarettes and 52% think that functional foods a healthy (Table 1).

Table	1.	Social-demographic	characteristics.
-------	----	--------------------	------------------

	N	%
Age classification		
18-25	529	40.00
26-35	368	27.
36-50	334	2 .20
51-65	92	7.
Total	1323	100
Gender		
Male	F-20	39.30
Female	873	60.70
Total	1323	100
BMI tassification		
Un weight (19 F)		6.10
Normal	709	53.60
Overweight (25.0, 9.9)	383	28.90

0
1.30
.30
9.00
2.80
2.10
3.00
3.10
00
the pandemic
1.90
3.00
5.20
00
2
2 8

A comparison was made regarding the consumption of products before and after the pandemic, and a significant difference was observed in functional food intake, food supplements, functional foods, immune-boosting foods, and probiotic-prebiotic products.

In addition, there is a significant decrease in the physical activity status of individuals (Table 2).

When the pre-pandemic and during-pandemic conditions were compared, there was a significant increase in the use of prebiotic-probiotics food supplements, and functional foods in healthcare workers, students, and other groups compared to the pre-pandemic period.

While there was a significant increase in the use of food supplements in the SGK and civil servant groups, there was no significant difference in the consumption of prebiotic-probiotic and functional food (Table 3).

There was a significant difference between the pre-

pandemic working areas in the use of prebiotics and probiotics. Considering the consumption of nutritional supplements and functional foods, there was a significant difference between the working areas during the pandemic process, but no difference was observed before the pandemic (Table 4).

Table 2. To examine	the status	of nutritional	habits a	nd physical	activity
---------------------	------------	----------------	----------	-------------	----------

Group	The nutrient items	During the pa	andemic	Total (n)	P-1, e
	before the pan- demic	Evet (n)	Hayir (n)		
Have you consumed	Yes	193	33	226	<0.0_1
any food supplement	No	197	439	626	
Total		390	472	862	
Have you been in	Yes	180	237		0.001
physical activity more than one time a week	No	143	302		
Total	1	323	539	862	
Have you consumed	Yes	273	44	317	<0.001
functional foods	No	129	416	745	
Total	1	402	460	8,2	
Have you consumed	Yes	502	22	524	<0.001
any prebiotic and probiotic products	No	123	2 4	337	
Total	1	625	23	861	
Have you consumed	Yes	551	29	590	<0.001
any nutrients to strengthen your immune system	No	131	141	272	
Total		682 🦰	0	862	

Table 3. Comparing prebiotics-probiotics sup, ment, and functional food consumption before and during the pandemic.

1 51 1							5 1						
Working area		Prebiot sumpti	tic and p	riotic	col	Food s	suppleme	umption	Funct	Functional food consumption			
	Before the pan-	During t demic	he	e - Total		During demic	During the pan- demic		р	During the pan- demic		Total	р
	uernic	Yes				Yes	No	_		Yes	No		
Healthcare	Yes		4	83	< 0.001	49	11	60	<0.001	60	10	70	<0.001
worker	No	72	54	106		57	72	129		51	68	119	
		131	58	189		106	83	189		111	78	189	
Security	Ye		4	68	0.077	21	3	24	< 0.001	30	8	38	0.648
Institution (SSI)		12	35	47		19	73	92		11	67	78	
	Total	76	39	115		40	76	116		41	75	116	

Govern- ment	Yes	102	8	110	0.076	38	5	43	< 0.001	45	9	54	0.405
Official	No	18	41	59		42	84	126		14	101	1.5	
	Total	120	49	169		60	89	169		59	11	169	
University Students	Yes	194	4	198	<0.001	60	9	69	<0.001	97	13	110	<0.001
	No	24	60	84		58	155	213		37		172	
	Total	218	64	282		118	164	282		134	148	282	
Others	Yes	63	2	65	<0.001	25	5	30	0.002	1	4	45	0.012
(retired, house-	No	17	24	41		21	55	76		16	45	61	
wives, un- employed)	Total	80	26	106	•	46		106		57	49	106	
Note: *Mcl	lemar's (Chi-Squa	re test wa	as used, p	<0,05 was	c	red signif	icant		1	1	I	I

Table 4. Comparison of pre-pro biotic, nutritional semplement of functional food consumption in the study areas before and during the pandemic.

Working area	Prebiotic and proviotic consumption											
	During the	ana. 'r		Before the pandemic								
	Yes	No	p	Yes	No	р						
Healthcare worker	131	58	0.122	83	106	<0.001						
Social Security Institution (SSI)		39		68	47							
Government official	120	49		110	59							
University students	218	64		198	84							
Others (retire, puge- wives, unemploys	80	26		65	41							

Working area	Food sup	Food supplement consumption											
	During the	e pandemic		Before the	pandemic	- 7							
	Yes	No	р	Yes	No								
Healthcare worker	106	83	0.003	60	129	0.2							
Social Security Institution (SSI)	40	76		24	92								
Government official	overnment official 80			43	16								
University students	118	164		69	213								
Others (retired, house- wives, unemployed)	46	60		30	Y								
Working area	Functional food consumption												
	During the	e pandemic		fore the	pandemic								
	Yes	No	р	Yes	No	р							
Healthcare worker	111	78	< 0.0		119	0.334							
Social Security Institution (SSI)	41	75		38	78								
Government official	59	110		54	115								
University students	134	148		110	172								
Others (retired, house- wives, unemployed)	57			45	61								
Note: *Chi-Square test wa	as used, p≺a	,05 was	red significant	I	I	I							

A significant difference we observed between G1 and G2 for all food groups (preble oper biotic, nutritional supplement, functional cod), during the pandemic process. When we pool at the pre-pandemic period, there was a significant difference between G1 and G2 in additional supplement and prebiotic-probiotic consumption, but there was no significant difference in functional foor a supplement.

When the proceeding and pandemic periods of G1 were compared within temselves, a significant difference was found in the consumption of nutritional supplements and prebiotic-probiotics. When the pre-pandemic and pandemic process of G2 was examined within itself, a significant difference was observed in all food groups (Table 5).

There was a significant difference in prebiotic-probiotic and functional food consumption between pre-pandemic and post-pandemic. It was determined that the use of pre-probiotics decreased from 59.30% to 40.70% when compared before and after the pandemic.

functional food consumption also fell from 55.70% to 44.30%. Physical activity levels decreased significantly after the pandemic. There was no significant difference in dietary supplement intake (Table 6).

	During th	e pandemi	c	pª	Before the	e pandemio	C	₽ ^ь	p°	nd
	Yes	No	Total		Yes	No	Total			
G1	184	288	472	<0.001	93	379	472	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.0
G2	206	184	390		133	257	390			
	During the	pandemic		0.002	Before the	pandemic		0.181	0.081	<0.001
	Yes	No	Total		Yes	No	Total			
G1	197	275	472		183	289	472			
G2	205	185	390		134	256	390			
	During the	pandemic		0.434	Before the	pandemic		<0.1	0.008	<0.001
	Yes	No	Total		Yes	No	Total			
G1	347	124	471		328	143	472			
G2	278	112	390		196	194	390			

Table 5. Before the pandemic and during the pandemic assessment of G1 and G2.

Note: G1: Group1, G2: Group2. During the pandemic G1-G2 (p^a), before the pandemic G1-G2 (p^b), during the pandemic and before the pandemic G2-G2 (p^c), during the pandemic and before the pandemic G2-G2 (p^c), during the pandemic and before the pandemic G2-G2 (p^c).

Table 6. Change in pre-pro biotic, nutritional supplement, functional for consumption and physical activity status before and after the pandemic.

Time	Prebio Consu	otic and Imptior	l Probi	otic	Food Supplement Con- sumption					tionar cood Consump-				Physical Activity			
	Yes	No	Total	р	Yes	No	Total	р	Yes	No	Total	р	Yes	No	Total	р	
Before the pan- demic	524	337	861	<0.001	226	636	862	0.348	317	545	862	<0.001	417	445	862	<0.001	
After the pan- demic	360	101	461		110	31	461		252	209	461		169	292	461		
Note:	*McNer	nar's Ch	i-Square	e test wa	5 u	p<0,.	- was co	onsidere	d signif	icant							

DISCUSSION

The results of the study showed at there was a decrease in individuals' physical divity level ring and after the pandemic. Also, eating have changed. While there hase in was a significant in consumption of food supplements, functional foods, immune-boosting foods, and probiotic-problem products during the pandemic high procer ebioticconsumption and functional mption decreased after the pandemic for a con. poared t the n ndemic period, and there was no sig produce in nutritional supplements. Nutrient use increased from 25% to 30% in the G1 supplem Lased to 22% in the G3. Consumption to G2 and a of functional foods was almost similar in G1 and G2 but decreased in G3 (24%). It was observed that while the use of pre-probiotics was 48% in G1, it decreased to 40% in G2 and again increased to 54% in G3. In addition, when the conditions before and during the pandemic were compared, there was a significant increase in the use of prebiotic-probiotics, food supplements, and functional foods in health workers, students, and other groups compared to the pre-pandemic period.

Cihan and Pirinççi stated in their study that young people are physically affected by the pandemic and tend to be inactivity, their quality of life is adversely affected, and there is an increase in the probability of them falling into depression. Changing diet and physical activity with the effect of this process can lead to other diseases such as obesity and diabetes in individuals ^[13]. Since the course of COVID-19 disease varies in individuals with chronic diseases, careful follow-up is recommended [14]. It is recommended that individuals who are malnourished with nutrients consume supplements. The majority of those who used nutritional supplements (75.80%) and herbal products (86.20%) during the pandemic stated that they used these products to protect themselves from COVID-19 and to strengthen their immune systems ^[15]. When the literature is examined, it is seen that the nutrition and lifestyle habits of individuals have changed during the pandemic process, similar to the results of this study ^[16]. COVID-19 has increased interest in nutritional supplements, functional foods, and immune-boosting foods ^[17]. In a similar study, it was observed that 46.1% of individuals consumed herbal medicines and 34.9% of them consumed functional foods during the pandemic to protect themselves from COVID-19 [18]. In a study conducted in Poland, it was stated that the participants' interest in functional food and dietary supplements increased ^[19]. In this study, a significant increase was observed in functional food, food supplements, immune-boosting foods, and probiotic-prebiotic products compared to the pre-pandemic period.

It has been reported in studies that healthcare workers are mostly conscious of using nutritional support ^{[2}] In this study, health workers, students, and other groups we found to be conscious about nutritional supplements.

Many similar studies show a decrease in physic tivity during the quarantine period ^[21]. In a study conduct by Souza et al., it was found that the physical activity levels of individuals decreased compared to the prequarantine period ^[22]. In addition, change in physical activity and changes in food consuming affective body weight of individuals in this process. Most the studies in the literature show that there is an increase in the body weight of individuals during the particle. And they cover more than 30% of the stal study population ^[23]. In the study conducted by Flance et al., 27.30% of individuals reported we gain, while 17.30% reported weight loss ^[24]. The prevalence of veight gain during the pandemic may ing cas, diseases associated with weight gain ^[13]. During the pundem , physical activity levels of individuals decreated compared to the pre-pandemic post-paneeric period, it was observed that peric it is as lower than before the pandemic and there was a of physical activity. e tr

As a responsibility of life and nutritional habits in this process against a possible pandemic. In addition, when the literature was examined, we could not find a study conducted after the pandemic, although studies evaluating the pre-pandemic and its process were included. However, post-pandemic studies are important to examine the changes in individuals are liabits of the pandemic process. Therefore, we think that are used studies are needed. The main limit are of this study is that data such as weight and height were used ated with a self-reported questionnaire. No negotiarements were taken from individuals before and during the pandemic. This can lead to incorrect reporting of data.

CONCLUSION

It has been determined to be also have changed their eating habits during the pandemic process, preferred products that strengthen the immune system, and showed more interest in nutritional supplements and functional foods. However, it can be said that after the pandemic individuals' interest in these foods decreased and they removed to their pre-pandemic diet. In addition, it was observed that physical activity, which decreased during to pandemic process, decreased further in the pandemic situation, individuals should be made aware of their nutritional habits and physical activity and their estainability should be ensured.

REFERENCES

Adhikari SP, Meng S, Wu YJ, Mao YP, Ye RX, Wang QZ, et al. Epidemiology, causes, clinical manifestation and diagnosis, prevention and control of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) during the early outbreak period: A scoping review. Infec Dis Poverty. 2020; 9(1): 29.

- Cascella M, Rajnik M, Cuomo A, Dulebohn SC, Di Napoli R. Features, evaluation and treatment coronavirus (COVID-19). StatPearls. 2020.
- 3. Parmet WE, Sinha MS. COVID-19-The law and limits of quarantine. N Engl J Med. 2020; 382(15):e28.
- 4. World Health Organization. Food and nutrition tips during self-quarantine. 2020.
- Zhang L, Liu Y. Potential interventions for novel coronavirus in China: A systematic review. J Med Virol. 2020; 92(5): 479-490.
- Dilber F, Dilber A. The effect of coronavirus (COVID-19) disease on the nutritional habits of individuals: The case of karaman province. J Tour Gastron Stud. 2020; 8(3): 2144-2162.
- 7. Ito H, Nakasuga K, Ohshima A, Maruyama T, Kaji Y, Harada M, et al. Detection of cardiovascular risk factors

by indices of obesity obtained from anthropometry and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in Japanese individuals. Int J Obes. 2003; 27(2): 232–237.

- Naja F, Hamadeh R. Nutrition amid the COVID-19 pandemic: A multi-level framework for action. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2020; 74(8): 1117–1121.
- Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: Rapid review of the evidence. Lancet. 2020; 395(10227): 912–20.
- Tang W, Hu T, Hu B, Jin C, Wang G, Xie C, et al. Prevalence and correlates of PTSD and depressive symptoms one month after the outbreak of the COVID-19 epidemic in a sample of home-quarantined Chinese university students. J Affect Disord. 2020; 274: 1–7.
- Korkut GD. Evaluation of dietary habits and physical activity status of first and emergency aid students in COVID-19 pandemic. J Paramed Emerg Heal. 2020; 1(1): 1–15.
- 12. Cesur F, Cengiz H, Vural H. The effect of walks consumption on small dense low-density lipoprotein and other serum lipid parameters in nealthy volunteers. Turkiye Klin J Heal Sci. 2022; 7(1), 1–8.
- 13. Bousquet J, Anto JM, Iaccarino G, Chewski W, Haahtela T, Anto A, et al. Is diet partly responsible for differences in COVID-19 death rates between and within countries? Clin Transl Allergy. 2010;10:
- Sandalci B, Uyaroğlu OA, Suin G Can G. The role, significance and recommendations of characteristic diseases in COVID-19. Flora J Infect Dis Can Mic obiol. 2020; 25(2): 132–138.
- Kamarlı AH, Seremet K. Karaçil Ermumcu MS. Increased user dietary somements and herbal products in adults using COVID-19: A cross-sectional study. Turkiye Klin J Hou Sei 2022; 7(1): 29–35.
- 16. Balanzá-Hartín z V, Kapczinski F, de Azevedo CT, Atienza-Corbonell B, Josa AR, Mota JC, et al. The

assessment of lifestyle changes during the CUL 10 pandemic using a multidimension scale. Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment 2021; 14(1): 16–26.

- 17. Aysin E, Urhan M. Dramatic increase in dietary supplement use during COLID-19. Cure Dev Nutr. 2021; 5(Supplement_2):207.
- Wróbel K, Milewska AJ, Marczak M, Jozrowski R. The impact of the COVID-19 pane mic on the composition of dietary and the composition and foods notified in Poland. St J Environ Res Public Health. 2021; 18(22): 11751.
- Doğan G, Özyildin G, Yabanci Ayhan N. Supplementation use and diet changes during COVID-19 pandemic according to anxiety level and Merger man diet adherence. Clin Nutr Espen. 2023; 54: 1, 129.
- Den G, Kiliçkalkan B, Takak MK. COVID-19
 Examining Idults' Use of Nutritional Supplements
 During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Genel Tip Derg. 2021, 31(4): 430–9.
 - Puścion-Jakubik A, Bielecka J, Grabia M, Mielech A, Markiewicz-żukowska R, Mielcarek K, et al. Consumption of food supplements during the three COVID-19 waves in poland-focus on zinc and vitamin d. Nutrients. 2021; 13(10): 3361.
- Souza TCM, Oliveira LA, Daniel MM, Ferreira LG, Della Lucia CM, Liboredo JC, et al. Lifestyle and eating habits before and during COVID-19 quarantine in Brazil. Public Health Nutr. 2022; 25(1): 65–75.
- Cheikh Ismail L, Osaili TM, Mohamad MN, Al Marzouqi A, Jarrar AH, Zampelas A, et al. Assessment of eating habits and lifestyle during the coronavirus 2019 pandemic in the Middle East and North Africa region: A cross-sectional study. Br J Nutr. 2021; 126(5): 757– 766.
- Flanagan EW, Beyl RA, Fearnbach SN, Altazan AD, Martin CK, Redman LM. The Impact of COVID-19 stay-at-home orders on health behaviors in adults. Obesity. 2021; 29(2): 438–445.

Clin Nutr Hosp Diet. 2024; 44(2): 01-09